

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignos 22313-1450 www.aupto.gev

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/087,703
 02/28/2002
 Hideki Nakata
 8861-424US (P27135-01)
 4893

7590 7590 07/08/2003

AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013 EXAMINER
MCCLOUD, RENATA D

PAPER NUMBER

1427

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ariv
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/087,703	NAKATA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Renata McCloud	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolar within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u>	February 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>2-22</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Be     See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/087,703

Art Unit: 2837

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamai et al (U.S. Patent 6,208,109) in view of Toyosaki et al (JP 2000-262089).

Claim 1: Yamai et al teach an electric motor controller comprising an inverter circuit having switching devices and diodes for converting DC into AC and supplying an AC power to the motor (e.g. Fig. 5:5; 21:121), a motor current detection section for detecting a current flowing through the motor and outputting a detected signal (e.g. Fig. 7:3), and an inverter control section for controlling the inverter circuit on the basis of the output of the motor current detection section (e.g. Fig. 5:8,10; Abstract), wherein the inverter control section comprises: a setting section for outputting a set value (e.g. Fig. 1), a detection section, having a current computing section for computing a current by using the detected signal from the motor current detection section (e.g. Fig. 7:5c), for outputting a detected value representing the driving condition of the motor on the basis of the output of the reactive current computing section (e.g. Fig. 7:5c), and a computing section for controlling the inverter circuit on the basis of the output of the setting section

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/087,703

Art Unit: 2837

and the output of the detection section (e.g. Fig. 5:4; Col. 15:45-53). Yamai et al do not teach computing a reactive current. Toyosaki et al teach computing a reactive current (e.g. Pg. 2/5:0012). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus taught by Yamai et al to compute a reactive current. The advantage of this would be maximum torque control and reduced noise and vibration.

Claim 23: Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al teach the limitations of claim 1.

Referring to claim 23, Yamai et al teach alternating current sensors (e.g. Fig. 7:3) and teach the detected phase deviations of the alternating current sensors are compensated for (e.g. Col. 30:16-46).

Claim 24: Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al teach the limitations of claim 1.

Referring to claim 24, Yamai et al teach a compressor for use in an air conditioner and a refrigerator (e.g. Col. 23:16-28).

3. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Lorenz et al (U.S. Patent 5,334,923).

Claim 25: Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al teach the limitations of claim 1.

Referring to claim 25, they do not necessarily teach a fan. Lorenz et al teach a fan (Col. 2:38-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,703

Art Unit: 2837

Claim 26: Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al teach the limitations of claim 1.

Referring to claim 26, it is unclear if they teach a pump. Lorenz et al teach a pump (Col. 2:38-44).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus taught by Yamai et al and Toyosaki et al to use the motor in a pump and a fan. The advantage of this would be torque and speed control of the pump and the fan.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. They are: Sakai et al (U.S. Patent 5,475,293), Kawabata et al (U.S. Patent 6,191,545), Ohura et al (U.S. Patent 6,534,948), Iwaji et al (U.S. Patent 6,531,843), Garces (U.S. Patent 4,677,360), and Nagai (U.S. Patent 5,486,743).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renata McCloud whose telephone number is (703) 308-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs and every other Fri. from 8 am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,703 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Renata McCloud Examiner Art Unit 2837

RDM June 26, 2003

> AGRERT E NAPPI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER HEDTINGLOCY GENTLER BROD